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## Presentation

(1) Introduction
(2) Spline interpolation
(3) A new method for spline interpolation of any degree

- Approximation of the derivatives
- Continuity of the piecewise polynomials
- Algorithms to approximate the boundary conditions

4 Concluding remarks

- Discretize the interval $[0, T]$ in $N$ sub-intervals of same length $\Delta t$
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- Discretize the interval $[0, T]$ in $N$ sub-intervals of same length $\Delta t$
- $N+1$ interpolation nodes $t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}$ with values $g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{N}$
- Goal: Reconstruct the unknown function $g$ using splines


Figure: Interpolation nodes on the considered mesh.
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Figure: Example of a function that is not a spline.

Figure: Example of a cubic spline with natural boundary conditions [De Boor, 1978]
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- [Beaudoin, 1998, Beaudoin and Beauchemin, 2003]
- Numerically observed that they were splines;
- $\theta$ must be an odd integer;
- Boundary conditions: $b_{n}=g_{N}^{(n)}-g_{0}^{(n)}$, for $n=0,1, \ldots, \theta-1$.
- [Pepin et al., 2020]
- Proof of continuity for piecewise polynomials; New
- $\theta$ can be odd or even, under certain conditions; New
- [Pepin et al., 2022] Algorithms to compute the boundary conditions $b_{n}$. New

Linear system to solve:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
J_{1, k} & J_{2, k} & \cdots & J_{\theta, k} \\
J_{0, k} & J_{1, k} & \ldots & J_{\theta-1, k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{1, k}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1, k, \theta} \\
f_{2, k, \theta} \\
\vdots \\
f_{\theta, k, \theta}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{0} \\
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
b_{\theta-1}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
-J_{0, k} f_{0, k, \theta} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
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where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(F_{\theta, k}\right)_{\beta, 1}=f_{\beta, k, \theta}=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} g_{j, \theta}^{(\beta)} e^{-i 2 \pi \frac{k j}{N}}, \quad \beta=1,2, \ldots, \theta \\
k=0,1, \ldots, N-1
\end{array}
$$
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- Goal : simplify $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{\theta, k}\right)$

From [Pepin et al., 2020]
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It is then possible to compute the numerical derivatives of $g$ when $N$ and $\theta$ are not simultaneously chosen as even integers. New

- The piecewise polynomials are built from truncated Taylor series:
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\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left[g^{(\beta)}\right]_{j}^{\theta}(t)=\sum_{p=0}^{\theta-\beta} \frac{\left(t-t_{j}\right)^{p}}{p!} g_{j, \theta}^{(p+\beta)}, \quad t \in\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}[ \right. \\
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- The numerical derivatives $g_{j, \theta}^{(\beta)}$ are computed in such a way that the polynomials connect smoothly at every interpolation node.
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où $\beta=0,1, \ldots, \theta$.

- The numerical derivatives $g_{j, \theta}^{(\beta)}$ are computed in such a way that the polynomials connect smoothly at every interpolation node.


Green curve: $\theta=3$ Purple curve: $\theta=6$ Orange curve: $\theta=9$
Table: $b_{0}=g_{N}-g_{0}$ and $b_{n}=0, n=1,2, \ldots, \theta-1$.

Algorithms to approximate the boundary conditions Methods based on:

$$
\min _{B}\left\|g_{\theta}(t)-g_{\theta-1}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$



(a) $g(t)=\sin (3 t) e^{-t}$

(b) $g(t)=2 \exp \left(-500\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{7}{2} t\right)$

OM: Our method
Function: $g(t)=\sin (3 t) e^{-t}$ on $[0,2 \pi]$
NS: Natural boundary conditions
NAK: Not-a-knot boundary conditions

| $N$ | Error | OM | NS | NAK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $2.43 \times 10^{-03}$ | $1.31 \times 10^{-02}$ | $3.59 \times 10^{-03}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $9.44 \times 10^{-05}$ | $4.03 \times 10^{-04}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-04}$ |
| 101 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $1.07 \times 10^{-04}$ | $1.15 \times 10^{-03}$ | $3.92 \times 10^{-05}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\operatorname{adg}}$ | $1.19 \times 10^{-06}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{-05}$ | $5.65 \times 10^{-07}$ |
| 501 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $9.79 \times 10^{-07}$ | $4.63 \times 10^{-05}$ | $6.65 \times 10^{-08}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\operatorname{avg}}$ | $2.21 \times 10^{-09}$ | $8.69 \times 10^{-08}$ | $5.18 \times 10^{-10}$ |

Table: $\theta=3$

| $N$ | Error | OM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $5.51 \times 10^{-04}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| 101 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $6.08 \times 10^{-07}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $6.20 \times 10^{-09}$ |
| 501 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $7.15 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $1.54 \times 10^{-13}$ |

Table: $\theta=5$

Function : $g(t)=\sin (3 t) e^{-t}$ on $[0,2 \pi]$

| $N$ | Error | Our method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $4.11 \times 10^{-06}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $9.37 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| 101 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $1.13 \times 10^{-11}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {ag }}$ | $7.80 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 501 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $4.67 \times 10^{-19}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $6.53 \times 10^{-22}$ |

Table: $\theta=11$

Function: $g(t)=2 \exp \left(-500\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{7}{2} t\right)$ on $[0,1]$

| $N$ | Error | Our method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $7.43 \times 10^{-01}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {ag }}$ | $3.73 \times 10^{-02}$ |
| 101 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $1.17 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $5.51 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 501 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $9.71 \times 10^{-20}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $4.08 \times 10^{-21}$ |

Table: $\theta=11$

Function: $g(t)=2 \exp \left(-500\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{7}{2} t\right)$ on $[0,1]$

| $N$ | Error | Our method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $7.43 \times 10^{-01}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {agg }}$ | $3.73 \times 10^{-02}$ |
| 101 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $1.17 \times 10^{-10}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $5.51 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 501 | $E_{\theta}^{\max }$ | $9.71 \times 10^{-20}$ |
|  | $E_{\theta}^{\text {avg }}$ | $4.08 \times 10^{-21}$ |

Table: $\theta=11$

Solution: we need to add more interpolation nodes.


Figure: Distribution of $N+1=32$ interpolation nodes on the interval [ 0,1 ] of the function $g(t)=2 \exp \left(-500\left(t-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{7}{2} t\right)$.

## Conclusions

- New method to compute higher degree splines;
- Continuity of the piecewise polynomials has been formally demonstrated;
- The determinant of the matrix $M_{\theta, k}$ has been analyzed;
- Algorithms for the approximation of the boundary conditions has been developed.
- Future projects: generalization to non equidistant nodes, generalization to higher dimension interpolation, in-depth study of the algorithms for the calculation of the boundary conditions, ...
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Method 1 : relative computational cost

Method 2 : relative computational cost

Figure: Relative computational cost for Algorithms 1 and 2 [Pepin et al., 2022].


Figure: Relative accuracy gain ( $\theta=11$ vs $\theta=3$ ) in terms of $N$.

